ENTERED

February 18, 2020 David J. Bradlev. Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ANTONIO FLORES VERA,	§	
Petitioner,	§ §	
VS.	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-164
LORIE DAVIS,	§ §	
Respondent.	§ §	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R), entered on January 23, 2020. (D.E. 16). The M&R recommends that the Court grant Respondent's motion to dismiss (D.E. 13) and Petitioner's § 2254 petition be dismissed without prejudice. It is further recommended that a Certificate of Appealability be denied.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the 1/2

M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 16). Accordingly, Respondent's motion to dismiss (D.E. 13) is **GRANTED**, and Petitioner's § 2254 petition is dismissed without prejudice as second or successive. The Certificate of Appealability is also hereby **DENIED**. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

SIGNED and ORDERED this 18

day of February 2020.

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE